

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginta 22313-1450 www.nsylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/686,641	10/10/2000	Parul A. Mittal	JP920000234US1	5887
21954 7590 06/08/2010 HARRY A SNYDER 800 E BENTON			EXAMINER	
			CARLSON, JEFFREY D	
CARROLLTON, MO 64633			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/08/2010	PAPER

### Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte PARUL A. MITTAL, ABHINANDA SARKAR, and KANNAN BALAJI

Appeal 2009-010243 Application 09/686,641 Technology Center 3600

Before DALE M. SHAW, Division 2 Support Administrator.

#### ORDER REMANDING APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This is a Director ordered remand under 37 CFR \$41.35(c) for the matter identified below. Accordingly, the application is herewith being remanded to the Examiner.

This application was electronically received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on May 5, 2009. A Docketing Notice was mailed and Appeal No 2009-010243 was assigned on May 19, 2009.

Claims 1, 7, 10, 13 39, 45, 48, 51 and 55 of the instant application contain functional language that may be indefinite under 35 U.S.C. § 112,

Appeal 2009-010243 Application 09/686,641

2nd paragraph. See Ex Parte Rodriguez, 2009 WL 3756279 (BPAI 2009) and Aristocrat Techs. Austl. Pty. Ltd. v. Int'l. Game Tech., 521 F.3d 1328 (Fed Cir. 2008). On September 2, 2008 the Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy, John J. Love, issued a memorandum entitled "Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, when examining means (or step) plus function claim limitations under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph", located at:

http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/section\_112\_6 th\_09\_02\_2008.pdf . Thus, there is a question as to whether claims 1, 7, 10, 13 39, 45, 48, 51 and 55 and the claims which depend upon these claims, meet the requirements of being definite under 35 U.S.C.  $\S$  112,  $2^{nd}$  paragraph.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is remanded to the Examiner to determine if claims 1, 7, 10, 13 39, 45, 48, 51 and 55 and the claims which depend upon these claims, meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. \$ 112,  $2^{nd}$  paragraph.

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

DMS/mls

HARRY A SNYDER 800 E BENTON CARROLLTON, MO 64633